



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/963,244	09/26/2001	Mark Alan Schultz	PU010200	8587
7590 03/18/2005			EXAMINER	
JOSEPH S. TRIPOLI			HINDI, NABIL Z	
THOMSN MU	LTIMEDIA LICENSIN	IG INC.		
2 INDEPENDENCE WAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 5312			2655	
PRICENTON, NJ 08543-5312			DATE MAILED: 03/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/963,244	SCHULTZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	NABIL Z HINDI	2655			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day but will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	February 2005.				
	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-24 is/are pending in the appear 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the left.	ccepted or b) objected to by the I ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	_				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 8) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/963,244

Art Unit: 2655

In response to applicant's amendment dated Feb 18, 2005. The following action is taken:

Claims 1 and 3-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification as originally filed does not provide support for the invention as claimed. The specification does not show or teach the newly added limitations in the claim such as detecting error in the previously recorded data and if no old data is recoded then recording test data to determine if error is present. The claims combine two embodiments in which the specification does not provide support for.

The drawings are objected to because none of the figures shows if data is previously recorded on a disk than error detection is determined, however if no data is recorded on the disk then test recording is done to determine error as claimed.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be

Application/Control Number: 09/963,244

Art Unit: 2655

Page 3

canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abevance.

Applicant's arguments filed Feb 18, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments are centered around the newly added limitations in the claims. The claims combine two embodiments in one. The use of detecting an error in the previously recorded data is disclosed. However if no previously recorded data is detected than a test recording is done (such limitation is not supported).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to NABIL Z HINDI at telephone number r (571) 272-7618

> NABIL HINDI PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROU? 2500